

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,238	11/04/2003	Kishore Karighattam	H1312	5261	
29393 ESCHWEILE	7590 01/05/2005 R & ASSOCIATES, LLO	EXAMINER			
NATIONAL CITY BANK BUILDING 629 EUCLID AVE., SUITE 1000			FORD, GRANT M		
CLEVELANI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, -		2441		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/05/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing@eschweilerlaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/701,238	KARIGHATTAM ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
GRANT FORD	2441					
	10/701,238 Examiner	10/701,238 KARIGHATTAM ET Examiner Art Unit				

	GRANT FORD	2441	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of set for the filled the filled the set for the set for the filled the set for the set for the filled the set for the set	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the p	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t. (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all- non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. \(\times \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \(\frac{13 \text{ and } 23-25}{25}. \) Claim(s) objected to: \(\text{Claim(s) objected to: } \) Claim(s) rejected: \(\frac{12}{12} \text{ and } 8-12. \) Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
/Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued in substance that in the prior art of Fox, the data stored in a virtual array of buffer descriptors is only status and control information, and that data packets are only stored in data buffers 350 or 360 and not in the buffer descriptors. The Microsoft Computer Dictionary refers the definition of data packet to the noun packet is defined as 1) a unit of information transmitted as a whole from one device to another on a network and 2) In packet-switching networks, a transmission unit of fixed maximum size that consists of binary digits representing both data and a header containing an identification number, source, and destination address, and sometimes error-control data. The claims are provided their broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification and the understanding of one of ordinary skill in the art. While Applicant's arguments appear to be directed to the second definition of packet, the primary definition of packet still applies with respect to the prior art of Fox when provided the broadest reasonable claim interpretation. While the instant specification and ordinary skill in the art while art would be led to interpret data packet by oth the first and second definitions. The Examiner notes the lack of context of the phrase data packet in independent claims therefrom and suggests that Applicants amend the instant claim language to reflect their arrued interpretation to provide basis for such.